UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Corey Jawan Robinson,)	C/A No.: 5:13-cv-00504-JMC-KDW
)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	Report and Recommendation
)	
)	
MD George Amonitti, Practitioner Ms. E)	
Holcomb, Doctor B Awood, and CCC L)	
Fripp,)	
)	
Defendants.	_)	

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis*, brought this action alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 21, 2013, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss, or in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment. ECF No. 75. As Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order on November 22, 2013, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising him of the importance of such motions and of the need for him to file an adequate response. ECF No. 76. Plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Defendants' motion may be granted, thereby ending this case. Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Plaintiff failed to respond to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, or in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment.

On January 9, 2014, the court ordered Plaintiff to advise whether he wished to continue with the case by January 27, 2014. ECF No. 81. Plaintiff has filed no response. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion of Defendants and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends this action be

dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

February 19, 2014 Florence, South Carolina Kaymani D. West United States Magistrate Judge

Hayman D. Hest

The parties are directed to note the important information in the attached "Notice of Right to File Objections to Report and Recommendation."